# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR 15-75-GF-BMM-JTJ

Plaintiff,

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

VS.

KALVIN JOSEPH GOBERT,

Defendant.

# I. Synopsis

Defendant Kalvin Joseph Gobert (Gobert) has been accused of violating the conditions of his supervised release. Gobert admitted all of the alleged violations. Gobert's supervised release should be revoked. Gobert should be placed in custody for 4 months, with 26 months of supervised release to follow. Gobert should serve the first 60 days of supervised release in a secure inpatient drug treatment facility, if he is eligible for such a facility.

#### II. Status

Gobert pleaded guilty on January 7, 2016, to being an Unlawful User of a Controlled Substance While Possessing a Firearm. (Doc. 32). The Court sentenced Gobert to 27 months of custody, followed by 3 years of supervised

release. (Doc. 41). Gobert's current term of supervised release began on July 23, 2020. (Doc. 70 at 2).

### Petition

The United States Probation Office filed a Petition on September 22, 2020, requesting that the Court revoke Gobert's supervised release. (Doc. 70). The Petition alleges that Gobert violated the conditions of his supervised release: 1) by using methamphetamine; and 2) by failing to complete his 180-day term at the Great Falls Residential Re-Entry Center. (Doc. 70 at 2).

## Initial appearance

Gobert appeared before the undersigned for his initial appearance on September 22, 2020. Gobert was represented by counsel. Gobert stated that he had read the petition and that he understood the allegations. Gobert waived his right to a preliminary hearing. The parties consented to proceed with the revocation hearing before the undersigned.

# **Revocation hearing**

The Court conducted a revocation hearing on September 22, 2020. Gobert admitted that he had violated the conditions of his supervised release: 1) by using methamphetamine; and 2) by failing to complete his 180-day term at the Great Falls Residential Re-Entry Center. The violations are serious and warrant

revocation of Gobert's supervised release.

Gobert's violations are Grade C violations. Gobert's criminal history category is I. Gobert's underlying offense is a Class C felony. Gobert could be incarcerated for up to 24 months. Gobert could be ordered to remain on supervised release for up to 30 months, less any custody time imposed. The United States Sentencing Guidelines call for a term of custody of 3 to 9 months.

## III. Analysis

Gobert's supervised release should be revoked. Gobert should be incarcerated for 4 months, with 26 months of supervised release to follow. Gobert should serve the first 60 days of supervised release in a secure inpatient drug treatment facility, if he is eligible for such a facility. This sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary.

#### IV. Conclusion

The Court informed Gobert that the above sentence would be recommended to United States District Judge Brian Morris. The Court also informed Gobert of his right to object to these Findings and Recommendations within 14 days of their issuance. The Court explained to Gobert that Judge Morris would consider a timely objection before making a final determination on whether to revoke his supervised release and what, if any, sanction to impose.

#### The Court **FINDS**:

That Kalvin Joseph Gobert violated the conditions of his supervised release by using methamphetamine, and by failing to complete his 180-day term at the Great Falls Residential Re-Entry Center.

#### The Court **RECOMMENDS**:

That the District Court revoke Gobert's supervised release and commit Gobert to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons for a term of 4 months, with 26 months of supervised release to follow. Gobert should serve the first 60 days of supervised release in a secure inpatient drug treatment facility, if he is eligible for such a facility.

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT

The parties may serve and file written objections to the Findings and Recommendations within 14 days of their entry, as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A district court judge will make a de novo determination regarding any portion of the Findings and Recommendations to which objection is made. The district court judge may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Findings and Recommendations. Failure to timely file written objections may bar a de novo determination by the district court judge, and may waive the right to appear and allocute before a district court judge.

DATED this 22nd day of September, 2020.

John Johnston

United States Magistrate Judge